## Metric Spaces and Topology Lecture 16

Cor. let X be a monempty portect Polish space, e.g. R. There is no Baire meas. (as a cubset of X2) well-order < of K. (c is a subset of  $K^2$ ) Remark. For top spaces X, Y, there is a natural top oligy on XXY, called the product topology, there the open sets are generched by sch of the form UXV for UEX I VEY open. In case X, Y are metric spaces with metrics dx id dy, respectively, XXY is a retric space with, for example, the dos-metric, i.e. dxxy ((x,y), (x',y')) = max { d(x,x'), dy (y,y')}. HW In this case, the setes UxV, U = X d V = Y grow, form a basis for X . Y. In particular, this holds for  $\chi \times \chi = \chi^2$ 

Proof of Corollary, Suppox hurreds a contradiction Mt 7 well-order

L on X. Recall My IEX is called initial if it's closed downward under c, i.e. if a EI then all bea are also in I (in other words, <a < I). X itself is an initial set, X and other initial sets I are of the form < a = 4 be X: bea3 for some at X (indeed, take a to be the L-least element of X (I). Note the X 2ª q 2b 2-> a cb, so the set of initial sets is also well-ordered under q.

Claim. If an initial set ICX is non-measure, then its restriction </r> PF By Kuratowski-Ulan, I<sup>2</sup> is nonnegre (each fiber of I' is equal to I, which is nonnear ?. But I'= (< |\_r)  $X = V(||_{I}) V(=|_{I})$ , there  $\Delta_{I} := \{(x, x) : x \in I\}$ . IZ HW X is perfect <=>  $\Delta_X$  is nowhere dense. The map  $(x, y) \mapsto (y, x)$  is a homeomorphism I X of from X2 to X2, thick maps 21 on to >1, so with are measure / normage at the same time, so they must both bo monmenyre becase X is Baile. Claim

X stult is an initial set of is connegge (benze X is Baird >0 we may take the least non-meagre initial set I, using that is a well-order. Since each liber I" of I is still an initial let which is strictly outsided in I, it must be neugre. By Kurctowski-Ulan, <|\_ is uccepte, X waterachicting the Ulaim. **Ι**<sup>α</sup> **Ι**<sup>2</sup>

Generic ergodicity en l Bonel graphs.

let X be a Polish space. We consider equivalence relations on X. They wrise unherally from many sources, in partiular, from graphs and from action of transformations or groups of transformations.

Def. We say let a transformation I: X -> X is Bonel (resp. Baire meas.) if the T-preimage of every open set is Bonel (resp. Baire meas.). The graph of T is  $\operatorname{graph}(T) := \zeta(*_{T}Tx) : x \in X \subseteq X^{2}.$ 

We usually depict this as Modern combinatorial dynamics depicts graph (T) as: Tr X X X T-orbits We denote this graph by GT at we call the GT-conrected components the orbits of T. The equivalence relation of being in the same connected component/orbit is called the orbit equivalence relation of T and denoted by ET. <u>Ubs.</u>  $\forall x, y \in X$ ,  $x \in T_y \iff \exists u, u \in N$  s.t.  $T_x = T_y^u$ . Exaples, (a) let X = S' = unit circle in R<sup>2</sup>. Tax Fix an angle d il let Ta : X -> X be rotation Leg d. This is a homeomorphism. We call Ta a rational rotation if d/2TT is rational, othermise

we call it an icrational rotation. For a rational rotation, orbit, are finite and have the same size (maybe of theme d/rT = 1/4 irreducible), and each wavested appoint is a cycle. For an irrational rotation, each orbit is infinite and in fact, dance. HW Each unpected component in The rotation To is the same (is isomorphic to) the map x h> x + d/21 (mod 1), where mod I is defined by taking In other words, we identify (0,1) with S' via the map x +> e<sup>2πix</sup>. (b) The baker map  $b_k : (o, 1) \rightarrow [o, 1) \xrightarrow{k-4}$ K=2 x → K·x (mod 1) This is a Borel map bene the preimager of intervals are finite unions of (not necessarily viz i open) intervals.

tach unected component is a complete binary tree rooted at as: (k=2) (left) This transformation by is isonorphic to the shift transfor-mation  $G_{k}$ :  $K^{(N)} \rightarrow K^{(N)}$ . The isonorphism  $[0,1) \rightarrow k^{(N)}$ (xn)nein (xn+i)nein is given by mapping x ∈ [0, 1) to its k-base representation For an ey. rel. E on X, a set YEX is called Einvariant if it's a union of E-classes, equivalently, x EY => [x] = EY, here [x] E denotes the class of X. Prop. let X, Y be metric (top.) spaces and suppose Y is 2<sup>nd</sup> etbl. The every Baire near, from tion t: X -> Y (e.g. Bore functions) is continuous when restricted to a concage set, i.e. I concerne X'=X s.t. flx1: X'->Y is continnous. Proof. Fix a ctbl basis V for Y and note that a function to Y

is continuous if the preimages of basic open sets are open. for every VGV, re know MJ J"(V) is Baine mecs. so F open it Uv =\* f'(v). Letting X' = X \ U (Uv a f'(v)), we see Mt X' is comeasive and very measure  $f|_{X'}(V) = U_V \cap X'$  for each  $V \in \mathcal{V}$ , so  $f|_{X'}$  is unbinaous. 

Def. An equiv. rel. E on X is called generically ergodic if every E-invariant Baire measurable sut is meagre or coméage. We say a transformation T: X >X is generically ergodic if so is ET.